



National Bridge Preservation Conference 2024

Bridge Preservation Innovation for Infrastructure Resiliency September 10, 2024

Disclaimer



Federal Highway Administration

Except for the statutes and regulations cited, the contents of this presentation do not have the force and effect of law and are not meant to bind the States or the public in any way. This presentation is intended only to provide information regarding existing requirements under the law or agency policies.

Unless otherwise noted, FHWA is the source for all images in this presentation.





- Overview of recent Bridge Investment Program changes
- FHWA Bridge Preservation Program
- Case Study: Fern Hollow Bridge Collapse



Bipartisan Infrastructure Law Bridge Investment Program



Purpose	Improve bridge (and culvert) condition, safety, efficiency, and reliability
Funding	 \$12.5 B (FY 22-26), \$2.5 B per Fiscal Year , including— \$3.3 B (FY 22-26) in Contract Authority from the Highway Trust Fund (HTF); and \$9.2 B (FY 22-26) in advance appropriations from the General Fund (GF)
Eligible entities	 State, MPO (w/ pop. >200K), Local government, Special purpose district/public authority with a transportation function, Federal land management agency, or Tribal government
Eligible projects	 Project to replace, rehabilitate, PRESERVE, or protect one or more bridges on the NBI Project to replace or rehabilitate culverts to improve flood control and improve habitat connectivity for aquatic species
Other key provisions	 Large Bridge Projects (>\$100M) are eligible for up to 50% of project costs and have the option for multi-year funding agreements (minimum award of \$50M) Bridge Projects (≤\$100M) are eligible for up to 80% of project costs (minimum award of \$2.5M) Sets aside of \$20M per FY for Planning grants Sets aside of \$40M per FY for Tribal transportation bridges

Review and Selection Process



- Application Intake and Eligibility Review Large Bridge Projects
 - Applications submitted before the applicable application deadline will be considered for the identified funding cycle
 - August 1, 2024, for FY25 Funding Cycle
 - August 1, 2025, for FY26 Funding Cycle
- Application Intake and Eligibility Review Bridge Projects
 - Applications submitted before the applicable application deadline will be considered for the identified funding cycle
 - November 1, 2024, for FY25 Funding Cycle
 - November 1, 2025, for FY26 Funding Cycle

BIP Large Bridge Projects Changes for FY2023 – FY2026



Administration

- Technical Review Process
 - All applicants will be notified of their preliminary Merit Criteria rating, Economic Analysis Rating, Project Readiness Rating, and Overall Preliminary Rating within 90 days of the closing date for the current funding cycle
 - Upon notification of their preliminary ratings, an applicant will be offered an opportunity to submit an amended application or request a debrief
 - An applicant has 14 days from notification or debrief to submit an amended application
- Unfunded eligible projects will automatically be considered for future FY funding cycles



Administration

FY23/24 Large Bridge Projects

Applications	FY2	22	FY23	6/24
Received	40		36	
Not-Eligible 4			3	
Eligible	36		33	
Not Recommended	29		11	1
Highly Recommended	3	7	12	22
Recommended	4	(19.4%)	10	(66.7%)

BIP Bridge Projects Changes for FY2023 – FY2026



Administration

- Technical Review Process
 - Only eligible applications that received medium or higher rating for Merit Criteria will be evaluated for Economic Analysis and the Project Readiness
 - Applicants will be notified of their preliminary ratings if their application received a medium or higher rating for Merit Criteria
 - Upon notification of their preliminary ratings, an applicant will be offered an opportunity to submit an amended application or request a debrief
 - An applicant has 14 days from notification or debrief to submit an amended application
- Unfunded Highly Recommended and Recommended projects will automatically be considered for future FY funding cycle

BIP Information



Administration

- Questions: <u>BridgeInvestmentProgram@dot.gov</u>
- Website: <u>https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/bridge/bip/index.cfm</u> (fhwa bip)
 - Application Templates
 - BCA Tool
 - Prerecorded overviews
 - Q&A
 - More...



U.S. Department of Transportation

Federal Highway Administration

FHWA Bridge Preservation Program

Strategic Objectives

- 1. Share cost-effective bridge preservation strategies
- 2. Promote bridge preservation as a component of asset and performance management
- 3. Develop education materials on bridge preservation
- 4. Foster a collaborative environment that encourages innovation and adoption of new technologies for bridge preservation

www.fhwa.dot.gov/bridge/preservation



The FHWA Bridge Preservation Expert Task Group Strategic Plan identifies strategic objectives and actions in the area of highway bridge preservation by working collaboratively with Federal, State and local agencies, industry, and academia.

ETG-Developed Pocket Guides (non-binding reference documents)





FHWA Case Studies (non-binding reference documents)





Source: FHWA

Case Studies:

- Response to Bridge Impacts
- Utilization of Cathodic Protection to Extend Service Life of Bridges
- Eliminating Bridge Joints with Link Slabs



Reports:

- UHPC Link Slab Design Example
- Prioritizing Preservation for Locally
 Owned Bridges

U.S. Department

of Transportation Federal Highway Administration

FHWA Case Studies (non-binding reference documents)





U.S. Department of Transportation

Federal Highway Administration

Designing for Durability and Resilience

- AASHTO Guide Specification for Service Life Design of Highway Bridges – 1st Edition, 2020
- FHWA Service Life Design Reference Guide – September 2022



Source: AASHTO



Source: FHWA

Fern Hollow Bridge Collapse

- January 28, 2022
- Forbes Avenue over Nine Mile Run in Frick Park
- 6 minor injuries
- 3-span rigid (K) frame of 497feet in length
- Fracture Critical (NSTM) Bridge
- Poor Condition (annual inspections)
- Posted at 26 tons





Administration

NTSB Report and Docket

The main highway incident page and link to final report is at:

https://www.ntsb.gov/investigations/Pages/HWY22MH003.aspx

The docket is at:

https://data.ntsb.gov/Docket/?NTSBNumber=HWY22MH003

- "Forbes Avenue over Fern Hollow Bridge Collapse Investigation – Assessment of Bridge Inspection and Load Rating"
- "Materials Laboratory Factual Report 23-036," Appendix A and Appendix B







Plan/Elevation



Bent 2





Bent 1

Collapsed Bridge





Rigid Steel Slant Legged Frame (K-Frame) Bridge





Paraphrased Probable Cause



Primary: the failure of the transverse tie plate on the southwest leg of the bridge, a fracture-critical member..., due to corrosion and section loss resulting from the...failure to act on repeated maintenance and repair recommendations from inspection reports.

Contributory: the poor quality of inspections, the incomplete identification of the bridge's fracture-critical members..., and the incorrect load rating calculations for the bridge.

Tertiary: insufficient oversight by the...Department of Transportation of the City...bridge inspection program.

What We Found: Corrosion and Cause of Collapse

- The southwest leg failed because it had reduced capacity due to extensive corrosion and section loss
 - The collapse initiated at the corroded transverse tie plate
- The following were excluded as factors in the collapse:
 - Use of uncoated weathering steel
 - Materials fabrication
 - Weld quality
 - Bridge design



Shoe Statics



U.S. Department of Transportation

Fern Hollow Bridge Inspections

- City of Pittsburgh responsible for inspection and maintenance
- Subject to Routine and FCM inspections
- Interim FCM inspections required
 - Reduced load rating in 2014 26 tons
 - Poor condition rating
- Conducted by two or more certified bridge safety inspectors

Inspection Date	Inspection Type
September 2005	Routine & FCM
September 2007	Routine & FCM
September 2009	Routine & FCM
September 2011	Routine & FCM
September 2013	Routine & FCM
September 2014	Interim FCM
September 2015	Routine & FCM
September 2016	Interim FCM
September 2017	Routine & FCM
March 2018	Interim FCM
September 2018	Interim FCM
September 2019	Routine & FCM
September 2020	Interim FCM
September 2021	Routine & FCM



Corrosion – Leg Shoe



Corrosion product build-up

Holes

Transverse tie plate thinning

24 Board Meeting Presentation, February 21, 2024



Stiffeners on Southwest Leg 2013





Source: 2013 inspection report



Source: 2021 inspection report



Cross-Bracing

2005 (Southwest Leg)



Source: 2005 inspection report

2021 (Southeast Leg)



Source: 2021 inspection report



Clogged Drainage Inlets

2005

2011





Source: 2011 inspection report

rt Source: 2017 inspection report





Source: 2021 inspection report



Bridge Preservation



- Cyclical Maintenance Activity cleaning/flushing of drainage systems
 - FHWA Bridge Preservation Guide
 - ETG Pocket Guide on Bridge Cleaning
 - AASHTO Guide to Bridge Preservation Actions

Challenges...

- Advancing NDE methods that provide actionable data
- New durable materials that extend service life
- Improved design methods
 - Service life design
 - Designing jointless bridges
 - Improved details that minimize maintenance needs



U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration

